

Exhibit K

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION

IN RE: : Case No. 17-31795-LTB

BESTWALL LLC, : Chapter 11

Debtor. : Charlotte, North Carolina

: Thursday, March 3, 2022

: 9:00 a.m.

[illegible]

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAURA TURNER BEYER,
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES :

For the Debtor: Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A.
BY: RICHARD C. WOLF, ESQ.
101 N. Tryon Street, Suite 1900
Charlotte, NC 28246

For Georgia-Pacific LLC: Rayburn Cooper & Durham, P.C.
BY: JOHN R. MILLER, JR., ESQ.
227 West Trade Street, Suite 1200
Charlotte, NC 28202

For Future Claimants' Representative, Sander L. Esserman: Alexander Ricks PLLC
BY: JOHN SPENCER, ESQ.
1420 E. 7th Street, Suite 100
Charlotte, NC 28204

Audio Operator: COURT PERSONNEL

Transcript prepared by: JANICE RUSSELL TRANSCRIPTS
1418 Red Fox Circle
Severance, CO 80550
(757) 422-9089
trussell31@tdsmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

1 February 10, 2022 order granting the debtor's supplemental
2 motion to enforce PIQ order and that they will face a daily
3 fine for failure to provide discovery. However, I agree with
4 Mr. Waldrep that the lack of evidence regarding Exhibit A and
5 the name on, the names on that list is a problem.

6 I also agree that it is the debtor's burden -- that is
7 clear -- to prove by clear and convincing evidence that the
8 names on Exhibit A are accurate and that they are, in fact, not
9 compliant in responding to at least some portion of the
10 personal injury questionnaire and as I said, until the Court
11 reviewed Mr. Waldrep's e-mail on February 9th I was unaware
12 that this issue was in dispute. It was not raised at the
13 January hearing.

14 I will also say that while this case is hotly
15 contested and the parties have their fair share of
16 disagreements, on the issues about which they should be able to
17 reach agreement, such as whether or not a claimant's name, of
18 whether or not a claimant has answered the questionnaire, the
19 parties have worked together on those kinds of issues to move
20 this proceeding along. As Ms. Ramsey said, again, at the last
21 hearing, the present claimants are most harmed by delay.

22 And those are your clients, Mr. Waldrep, and I believe
23 that the tactic that you are taking regarding this Exhibit A is
24 unnecessary and it's dilatory and it stands to harm your
25 clients more than anybody else.